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Abstract

We participate in all two types of instance search task in TRECVID 2012: automatic search and interactive search. This paper presents our approaches and results. In this task, we mainly focus on exploring the effective feature representation, feature matching, re-ranking algorithm and query expansion. In feature representation, we adopt two basic visual features and five keypoint-based BoW features, and combine them to represent effectively the frame image. In feature matching, multi-bag SVM is adopted since it can make full use of few query examples. Moreover, we conduct keypoint matching algorithm on the top ranked results. It is effective yet efficient since only top ranked results are concerned. In re-ranking stage, we observe that the top ranked videos always contain a few noisy videos. To eliminate such noise, we proposed a re-ranking algorithm based on semi-supervised learning to refine the top ranked results. In query expansion, we automatically crawl extra training images from Flickr according to the names of query instance. We achieve the good results in both tasks. Official evaluations show that our team is ranked 2\textsuperscript{nd} on automatic search and 1\textsuperscript{st} on interactive search.

1 Overview

In instance search task of TRECVID 2012, we participate in all two types: automatic search and interactive search. We submitted 4 runs for the instance search task of TRECVID 2012, including 3 runs for automatic search and 1 run for the interactive search. The evaluation results of our 4 runs are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>MAP</th>
<th>Brief description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Automatic</td>
<td>F_X_NO_PKU-ICST-MIPL_1</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>B+S+C+M+R+F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F_X_NO_PKU-ICST-MIPL_3</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>B+S+C+M+R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F_X_NO_PKU-ICST-MIPL_4</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>B+S+C+O+M+R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interactive</td>
<td>I_X_NO_PKU-ICST-MIPL_2</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>S+H</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In automatic search, our team is ranked 2nd in all 23 teams (our best run ranks the third among all 79 runs of 23 teams, and the first two runs belong to one team). In interactive search, our run is ranked 1st. Table 2 gives the explanation of brief description in Table 1. The framework of our system for instance search task of TRECVID 2012 is shown in Figure 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Basic feature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Sift feature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Color Sift feature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>Opponent Sift feature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Keypoint matching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Re-ranking based on semi-supervised learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Query expansion with Flickr images</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Human feedback</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Description of our methods.

![Figure 1: Framework of our instance search approach for the submitted four runs.](image)

2 Feature Representation

We use two kinds of features for the instance search tasks, namely basic visual features and keypoint-based BoW features.
2.1 Basic visual features

We extract two basic visual features namely CMG(Color Moment Grid) and LBP(Local Binary Pattern) from each keyframe image. The details of these visual features are given as follows:

1. **CMG (756-d)**: the image is divided into sub-images by 1x1, 3x3, 5x5 and 7x7 grid in the CIE-Lab color space. The color moments of the 1st, 2nd and 3rd order are extracted from these sub-images in each channel.

2. **LBP (1475-d)**: it depicts the relationship of the center pixel and P equally spaced pixels on a circle of radius R in a gray-scale image. We first divide the gray-scale image into sub-image by a 5x5 grid, and then choose a neighborhood size of 8(P=8) equally spaced pixels on a circle of radius l(R=1) that form a circularly symmetric neighbor set with “uniform” patterns.

2.2 Keypoint-based BoW features

We explore the keypoint-based BoW(Bag-of-Word) features to represent each keyframe image. In our method, the extraction of keypoint-based BoW features includes three steps:

1. Detect keypoints using five detectors from the images, and use three descriptors to present the regions of those keypoints.
(2) Use k-means algorithm to cluster the keypoints into 1000 clusters, and form a visual vocabulary with the cluster centroids.

(3) Adopt soft-weighting[5] method to assign keypoints to multiple nearest visual words (centroids), where the word weights are determined by keypoint-to-word similarity. The normalized histogram of visual words forms a BoW feature vector.

In step (1), we adopt five complementary detectors to detect the keypoints from images: Difference of Gaussian (DoG) [1], Laplace of Gaussian(LoG)[1], Harris Laplace[2], Hessian Affine [3], and MSER [4]. For each detector, we use following three descriptors to generate three Bow features: 128-dimension SIFT descriptor[1], 192-dimension ColorSIFT descriptor [7], and 384-dimension OpponentSIFT descriptor [6]. As shown in Figure 2, for each combination of detector and descriptor, a 1000-dimension feature vector is generated separately. Different BoW features and basic features are concatenated to form the final feature in different runs as described in Table 1.

### 3 Feature Matching

In feature matching, multi-bag SVM is adopted since it can make full use of few query examples. Moreover, we conduct keypoint matching algorithm on the top ranked results. It is very effective yet efficient since only top ranked results are concerned.

The query examples are considered as positive samples. Due to the fact that only few shots are relevant with the topics in the test data set, we adopt the random sampling of test data as negative examples. A problem of learning-based method is that there are too few positive samples and too many negative samples. In our approach, we use MBSVM algorithm to handle this imbalanced problem, the algorithm details are presented in Figure 3 and diagram is shown in Figure 4.

1. Over-sample the positive samples: Duplicate the positive sample set \( P \) for \( (PCopy - 1) \) times and get a new set of positive samples \( P' \) with \( PCopy \times PN \) samples, where \( PN \) is the number of positive samples in \( P \) before over-sampling.

2. Under-sample the negative samples: Randomly select \( NPR \times PCopy \times PN \) negative samples, and combine them with the over-sampled positive sample set \( P' \) to form a bag. That is to say, in each bag, the number of negative samples is \( NPR \) times as the number of positive samples, where \( NPR(\text{negative-to-positive-ratio}) \) is a parameter to control the degree of data imbalance in each bag. A model is trained by LibSVM for each a bag, where RKF kernel is used with default parameters.

3. Repeat the above step (2) for \( BagNum \) times, where \( BagNum \) is a parameter specifying the number of bags. Then for each shot in the test data set, the \( BagNum \) prediction scores given by different models are averaged to form the final result. Notice that the negative samples in each bag are selected without repetition, that is, the negative samples are totally different in these bags. This ensures that we can make full use of the most of negative samples.

Figure 3: our algorithm for learning-based retrieval.

Totally, there are three important parameters in MBSVM algorithm: \( PCopy, NPR \) and \( BagNum \). Experiments show that \( PCopy=100, NPR=5 \) and \( BagNum=5 \) can achieve good performance in both the accuracy and efficiency, while \( PCopy \) needs to be set according to the number of frames extracted from each video clip in the query examples.
We use keypoint matching method based on SIFT descriptor to further improve the performance. Since keypoint matching is time consuming, we only conduct keypoint matching algorithm on the 1000 top ranked videos, which is effective yet efficient.

Figure 4: Diagram of MBSVM algorithm, where Pcopy=2, NPR=2 and BagNum=2.

4 Re-ranking

In re-ranking stage, we observe that the top ranked videos always contain a few noisy videos. Figure 5 shows an example of query “Stonehenge”. Most of the top ranked videos are correct and they look similar to each other. To eliminate such noise, we proposed a re-ranking algorithm based on semi-supervised learning to refine the top ranked results, which can make full use of the data distribution information. The detail of our algorithm is described in Figure 6.

Figure 5: Results of query “Stonehenge”. The top ranked videos always contain a few noisy videos. Most of the top ranked videos are correct and they look similar to each other. To eliminate such noise, we proposed a re-ranking algorithm based on semi-supervised learning to refine the top ranked results, which can make full use of the data distribution information.
Given the data matrix of 1000 top ranked videos $F$ and $L$, where $F_i$ stands for the feature vector of a frame image and $L_i$ stands for the video ID of vector $F_i$, $i \in \{1,2,...,n\}$ where $n > 1000$ means there are $n$ frames from 1000 videos.

(2) Initialize the affinity matrix $W$ with all zeros, and update as following:

$$W_{ij} = \frac{F_i \cdot F_j}{|F_i| \cdot |F_j|}, i, j \in \{1,2,...,n\}, i \neq j.$$

(3) Generate the $k$-NN graph:

$$W_{ij} = \begin{cases} W_{ij}, & F_i \in kNN(F_j); \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

$kNN(F_j)$ stands for the set of $k$-nearest neighbors of $F_j$.

(4) Construct the matrix: $S = D^{-1/2} W D^{-1/2}$, where $D$ is a diagonal matrix with its $(i,i)$-element equal to the sum of the $i$-th row of $W$.

(5) Iterate $G_{t+1} = \alpha SG_t + (1-\alpha)Y$ until convergence, where $G_t$ denotes the refined result in $t$-th round and we set $G_0 = Y$, $\alpha$ is a parameter in the range $(0,1)$. $Y$ is the initial score list of the frames of 1000 top ranked videos, we set the score of each frame the same as its original video.

Figure 6: re-ranking algorithm based on semi-supervised learning.

## 5 Interactive Search

In the interactive search, we only adopt SIFT descriptor and two kinds of keypoint detectors: Harris Laplace detector and Hessian Affine detector. Each frame is represented as a 2000-dimension BoW feature vector for efficiency. The detail of interactive search is described as following: Firstly, we retrieve the related 1000 videos by Multi-bag SVM as introduced in Figure 3. Then, we manually annotate about 25 positive or negative results for each topic. According to our observation, we found following three key factors: (1) Positive and negative samples are both helpful, and positive samples are more important than negative samples. (2) Positive samples ranked lower are helpful because they provide much information complementary to query examples. (3) Negative samples ranked higher are helpful because they look similar to positive samples and are easily mistaken.

With those new positive and negative samples, we adopted Multi-bag SVM again to re-train models. In this round, we only predict the 1000 top ranked results from last round for efficiency. Finally, we got the interactive search results and return to users.

## 6 Conclusion

By participating in the instance search task in TRECVID 2012, we have the following conclusions: (1) effective feature is vital, (2) learning-based similarity measure is a key factor, (3) re-ranking based on semi-supervised learning is helpful, (4) query expansion can improve the performance.
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