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## About Us

- Media lab, The City College of New York (CCNY)
- SRI International



## SRI International

- We participated last year's SED task as
"MediaCCNY" for the $1^{\text {st }}$ year


## Overview of Our System



- Human tracking is involved
- User is involved as the final decision maker

Outline

- Feature Extraction
- Feature Purification
- Representation
- Event Inference (Classification)
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## - User Interaction <br> \author{ User Interaction 

 <br> 正}
## Feature Extraction

- 2 feature channels are used:
- 1. STIP-HOG/HOF
- 2. SURF/MHI - HOG


STIP

## SURF/MHI

Motion History Image

- Two detectors extract complementary interest feature points
- Frames are downsampled: 720x576 -> 360x288


## Feature Extraction

- Descriptor Channels:
- Histogram of Gradients (HOG)

Spatial Feature


- Histogram of Flows (HOF)

Temporal


## Outline

- Feature Extraction
- Feature Purification
- Representation
- Event Inference (Classification)
- User Interaction


## Feature Purification

- Two issues with extracted feature points:
- Huge number
- Too much Noise
- Feature purification is conducted on:
- Objective Saliency Capture (moving people)
- Semantic Saliency Capture (event frequency prior)


## Human Tracking Mask



- Multiple human tracking bounding boxes are used as filtering masks


## Event Belief Region



- Event specific event belief region is used to capture semantic saliency


## Outline

- Feature Extraction
- Feature Purification
- Representation
- Event Inference (Classification)
- User Interaction


## Feature Representation



- Local features (short strings) inside a "'window" are aggregated using Bag-of-words model
- Dimension Augmentation using feature mapping (long strings)


## Feature Aggregation

- Feature dimension:
- STIP-HOG/HOF: 162 SURF/MHI-HOG: 256
- Code book is built on K-means clustering
- Spatial pooling uses a 3-layer pyramid:



## Feature Mapping

- "XOR"

problem:

| label | Original feature <br> $(x, y)$ | Mapped feature <br> $(x, y, x y)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -1 | $(1,1)$ | $(1,1,1)$ |
| -1 | $(-1,-1)$ | $(-1,-1,1)$ |
| 1 | $(1,-1)$ | $(1,-1,-1)$ |
| 1 | $(-1,1)$ | $(1,-1,-1)$ |

- Feature mapping: map original feature to some high dimensional feature space


## Outline

- Feature Extraction
- Feature Purification
- Representation
- Event Inference (Classification)
- User Interaction


## Event Inference

- Cascade SVMs are used as classifier
- Each unit sample is a temporal window of 60



## A Demo iter 1



## A Demo iter 2
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## A Demo iter 3






## Outline

- Feature Extraction
- Feature Purification
- Representation
- Event Inference (Classification)
- User Interaction


## Human Interaction

## - Motivation

- Let an expert user be the final decision maker



## Human Interaction

- Some Facts about our UI

- "Reject" is the basic move
- "<=" or "=>" are seldom used
- More than 5 basic moves can be distracting


## What did a user do?

Ground Truth


## What did a user do?

Ground Truth


## Results

## - With 25 mins limit: (rejecting all others)

| Event | Actual DCR |  |  | Minimum DCR |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 Best | Ours | Cor./FA/Mis. | 2013 Best | Ours |
| CellToEar | 0.902 | 1.0024 | $1 / 23 / 193$ | 0.9057 | 0.9991 |
| Embrace | 0.623 | 0.8573 | $26 / 18 / 149$ | 0.6514 | 0.8573 |
| ObjectPut | 0.9806 | 0.9936 | $6 / 10 / 615$ | 0.9803 | 0.9916 |
| PeopleMeet | 0.8704 | 0.9534 | $33 / 82 / 416$ | 0.8684 | 0.9527 |
| PeopleSplitUp | 0.7781 | 0.9029 | $20 / 30 / 167$ | 0.7771 | 0.9016 |
| PersonRuns | 0.5850 | 0.8596 | $16 / 28 / 91$ | 0.5844 | 0.8590 |
| Pointing | 0.9564 | 1.0006 | $13 / 39 / 1050$ | 0.9655 | 0.9959 |

- Remove 25 mins limit:

| Event | Actual DCR |  |  | Minimum DCR |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 Best | Ours | Cor./FA/Mis. | 2013 Best | Ours |
| CellToEar | 0.902 | 1.0027 | $1 / 24 / 193$ | 0.9057 | 0.9991 |
| Embrace | 0.623 | 0.7919 | $39 / 45 / 136$ | 0.6514 | 0.7909 |
| ObjectPut | 0.9806 | 0.9934 | $10 / 29 / 611$ | 0.9803 | 0.9924 |
| PeopleMeet | 0.8704 | 0.9195 | $65 / 196 / 384$ | 0.8684 | 0.9177 |
| PeopleSplitUp | 0.7781 | 0.8053 | $41 / 75 / 146$ | 0.7771 | 0.8050 |
| PersonRuns | 0.5850 | 0.8596 | $16 / 28 / 91$ | 0.5844 | 0.8590 |
| Pointing | 0.9564 | 1.0079 | $70 / 225 / 993$ | 0.9655 | 0.9952 |

## observations

| Event | Actual DCR |  |  | Minimum DCR |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 Best | Ours | Cor./FA/Mis. | 2013 Best | Ours |
| CellToEar | 0.902 | 1.0024 | $1 / 23 / 193$ | 0.9057 | 0.9991 |
| Embrace | 0.623 | 0.8573 | $26 / 18 / 149$ | 0.6514 | 0.8573 |
| ObjectPut | 0.9806 | 0.9936 | $6 / 10 / 615$ | 0.9803 | 0.9916 |
| PeopleMeet | 0.8704 | 0.9534 | $33 / 82 / 416$ | 0.8684 | 0.9527 |
| PeopleSplitUp | 0.7781 | 0.9029 | $20 / 30 / 167$ | 0.7771 | 0.9016 |
| PersonRuns | 0.5850 | 0.8596 | $16 / 28 / 91$ | 0.5844 | 0.8590 |
| Pointing | 0.9564 | 1.0006 | $13 / 39 / 1050$ | 0.9655 | 0.9959 |


| Event | Actual DCR |  |  | Minimum DCR |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 Best | Ours | Cor./FA/Mis. | 2013 Best | Ours |
| CellToEar | 0.902 | 1.0027 | $1 / 24 / 193$ | 0.9057 | 0.9991 |
| Embrace | 0.623 | 0.7919 | $39 / 45 / 136$ | 0.6514 | 0.7909 |
| ObjectPut | 0.9806 | 0.9934 | $10 / 29 / 611$ | 0.9803 | 0.9924 |
| PeopleMeet | 0.8704 | 0.9195 | $65 / 196 / 384$ | 0.8684 | 0.9177 |
| PeopleSplitUp | 0.7781 | 0.8053 | $41 / 75 / 146$ | 0.7771 | 0.8050 |
| PersonRuns | 0.5850 | 0.8596 | $16 / 28 / 91$ | 0.5844 | 0.8590 |
| Pointing | 0.9564 | 1.0079 | $70 / 225 / 993$ | 0.9655 | 0.9952 |

- Significant bias is observed between user judgment and ground truth
- E.g. in PeopleMeet, user brought in 146 clips, while 114 of them is false alarm.
- Improvement is observed in those events with reasonable number of detections
- weighted fraction of total time for different events?
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