# TRECVID Automated and Interactive Search by NUS/ICT

Shi-Yong Neo, <u>Yan-Tao Zheng</u>, Hai-Kiat Goh, Tat-Seng Chua School of Computing, National University of Singapore

<u>Huanbo Luan</u>, Juan Cao, Qiaoyan He, Sheng Tang, Yongdong Zhang *Institute of Computing Technology, Chinese Academy of Sci.* 





### Overview

Performed two tasks: Automated search & Interactive search





## **Automated Search**



### Auto Search Overview

≻Challenge: *ASR and MT are not good*,

Solution: incorporate multi-modal features to complement text

≻Effective query analysis and retrieval using HLF, motion and visual features.

≻Framework

≻Step 1: induce and extract query-information

> query-class, query-HLF from the text query;

➤Query motion & visual features from available example keyframes/shots

Step 2: perform retrieval and ranking





## **Query Analysis**

>Analyze queries to learn:

Query-class, Query-HLF, Query-image-feature and Query-shotmotion

≻Query-class

>Showed to be important functions by many prior works

≻Identified by heuristic rules using combination of noun, noun phrases, verbs, NE, etc

≻Function as a guide to fuse multi-modal features effectively.

≻Determined by a set of firing rules for each class:

≻We exploit {Scene, People, Object, Action, Unknown}.

>{Unknown} class is to accommodate the queries that do not belong to any of the first four classes.

 $\succ$ Other classes cover 19 out of 24 queries



## Query Analysis: Query-HLF

**Query-HLF** suggests possible HLFs that are important to the query in terms of visual requirements.

- >Employ morphological analysis and selective expansion using WordNet on HLFs descriptions and query.
  - Stronger the match between HLF descriptions and query => the more important the HLF is to the query.
- >Infer query-HLF from sample keyframes and shots
  - ≻A sample image containing one of the HLFs could explicitly means that the particular HLF can be important.

≻Combine inference from text query and video shots to obtain a better and more representative query-HLF for query.



### Query Analysis: Query-image-feature

➤Query-image-feature (Q<sub>IMG</sub>) corresponds to video features extracted from sample keyframes and video shots.

Step 1: extract three visual features from all the sample keyframes

≻a 320-dimensional vector of edge histograms(*EH*) on 5 regions;

≻a 166-dimensional color histogram (*CH*) vector in HSV space;

≻a set of visual words (*VW*) constructed based on 128-dimensional SIFT vector

Step 2: learn three nonparametric LDA models based on above three visual features (*CH*, *EH*, *VW*)

≻obtain the latent topic distribution of every shot.



### **Query Analysis: Query-motion features**

≻A number of query topics are highly associated with motions.

 $\succ$ For example,

>Query "finding shots of train in motion" and "find shots in which a boat moves past" tend to present large horizontal translational global motions in the shot,

>Query "find shots of a road taken from a moving vehicle through the front windshield" tends to present zoom-like diffusing global motions,

#### >We use 2 descriptors for global motion patterns

≻8-dimensinal vector of motion directions: up, down, left, right, up-left, up-right, down-left and down-right

≻1D global motion intensity: still, median, etc

≻The motion cues are extracted from motion vectors stored in pframes in compressed domain

≻High efficiency: processing around 50-hour testing videos in approximately 40 hours.



### Shot Level Retrieval

➢ Fuse the ASR & MT text, Query-HLF, Query-image-feature and Query-shot-motion

$$Score (Q, Shot_{j}) = \beta_{c} \cdot Text (Q, words \mid words \in Shot_{j}) + \gamma_{c} \cdot \sum_{HLF_{m} \in shot_{j}} [Conf (HLF_{m}) \times Sim \_Lex (Q_{HLF}, HLF_{m})] + \delta_{c} \cdot \max_{image_{n}Q_{IMG}} (image \_sim (image_{n}, shot_{j}) + \chi_{c} \cdot \max_{image_{n}Q_{IMG}} (motion \_sim (image_{n}, shot_{j}))$$



### **Experimental Results**

➢ Performed 5 runs to progressively evaluate effect of HLF, visual and motion features

- *Run1:* \*Required text baseline;
- *Run2:* \*Required visual baseline;
- ➢Run3: Fusion without motion using only text query;
- ➢Run4: Fusion with motion using only text query;
- ➢Run5: Fusion with motion using multimedia query;



### **Experimental Results**

- Firstly, the worst performing run (Run1: MAP 0.004) comes from the text baseline.
  ASR and MT text are not erroneous and thus less predictive than HLF and visual counterparts.
- ≻The visual baseline (Run2: MAP 0.017) in contrast yields much better results.
- ➤Improvements in Run3 and Run4 show that the use of HLF and motion features is effective.
- ➢Run5 (0.061) delivers the highest MAP by multimedia queries
- ≻Observations:
  - >HLFs are one of most important features
  - ≻Motion is effective in certain queries
  - Visual and motion features tend to complement text and HLF features

>Query content from multimedia counterpart is more discriminating than text alone





## **Interactive Search**



### Introduction

- Poor performance of fully auto search
- More intelligent system is demanded
- Solution: interactive search
  - Incorporate user's feedback to refine the results
- > Our emphases for interactive search:
  - Effective UI (User Interface)
    - > To maximize user's annotation speed
  - Multiple feedback strategies
    - > To provide multiple refinement options to users
  - Motion icons

> Design Moving Icons (M-icons) to give info on motion of the shots



### **Overall Framework**





### Intuitive User Interface

#### VI Design Basis

- ➤ Fast perception
  - display 3 shots in each row
  - ➢ optimum for keystroke action
- Quick previews of previous
  & subsequent rank shots
- Flexible annotation modes
  - ➤ manual, semi-auto, auto
  - ➤ control flow of shot browsing
- ➢ Query by HLF
- Retrieval Statistics
- Self-contained, seperated from backend server and Webenabled
  - > UI developed by Macromedia flash





Back space

Enter

Ctrl

Shift

行

@

### **Intuitive User Interface**

#### Precision-directed Scroll Mode ➢UI Design Basis feedback (Manual, Semi-Auto, Auto) % & \$ $\succ$ Fast annotation 5 6 8 0 9 E R W Q Y U Ο P Tab *▶ keystroke actions,* S D F G н K Caps Lock А labeling by clicking В Ζ Ν Shift M on keyboard buttons Alt Gr Ctrl Alt Spacebar **Recall-directed** Quick button for labeling feedback **≻**Efficiency Labeling buttons Neighbor-directed Scroll buttons

>Approximate 3,500 shots based on motion icons in 15 mins

(Up, Down)

feedback

>Approximate 5,000 shots based on static icons in 15 mins



## Multiple Feedback Strategies I

Strategy 1: Recall-directed feedback

≻Aim: maximize recall performance

≻Extract useful text token and HLF from labeled relevant shots for query expansion

≻Features: text and HLF

Strategy 2: Precision-directed feedback

≻Aim: improve precision of retrieved shots by refining classifier

≻Adaptive sampling strategy for active learning based on SVM

≻Multimodal features: visual, HLF, motion

≻Real time training and classification



## Multiple Feedback Strategies II

- Strategy 3: Semantic coherence (neighborhood inference)
  - ≻Temporal locality-driven: return neighboring shots of the positive
  - Documentary videos possess high temporal coherency of same topic
  - ≻Neighboring shots tend to be relevant
  - ≻Select neighbors by sliding window
  - >Example: find shots of street market



Shot123\_123

Hier liggen zoete aardappelen: Dat zijn zoete aardappelen.

Shot123\_124



Shot123\_125



Shot123\_126



Shot123\_127 18



### Why Multiple Feedback Strategies?

≻More options for users

≻More robustness in feedback

≻More flexibility for cross-domain annotation

≻For news corpus (TRECV06), recall-driven feedback is effective

► ASR text is richly available

≻For documentary corpus (TRECV07), neighborhood inference works well

>Documentary video tends to be of high temporal coherence.



### **Motion Icons**

### ≻Motivation

- ≻Many queries are associated with objects in motion in the video.
- Static keyframes contain deficient information about video content

### ≻Our Approach

- ≻Construct a summarized clip comprising a sequence of keyframes which can show moving picture information.
- ≻Motion icon possesses more comprehensive info. than static keyframe
- ➢Users can have a clearer idea of shot content and identify relevant shots with better confidence



### **Motion Icons**

#### > Example 1: *find shots of train in motion*

keyframe



**M-icon** 



Example 2: find shots of a canal, river, or stream with some of both banks visible

keyframe



M-icon



### Experiments

≻We submitted one run of interactive search

≻MAP of 0.251 and 5<sup>th</sup> best performing run

> 2 topics achieves highest MAP and 18 out of 20 topics are above median

≻1 query ("Find shots of people and dogs walking") has no relevant shots found, which lowers overall MAP badly.





## **Conclusion and Future Work**

- ≻Focus of Interactive Search
  - ≻Efficient UI
  - ≻Multiple Feedback Strategies
  - ≻M-icon
- ≻Future Work
  - ≻Can we extend our system to non-expert users?
  - *Challenges:* When to do feedback, which strategy to choose?
  - ➢ Solution: Recommendation mechanism
    - ➤Analyze experts behavior pattern based on activity log
    - ➤Annotation statistics of non-expert users



## Thank You

**Q** & A