# Planning for TRECVID 2008

Discussion items from the planning session at the TRECVID 2007 workshop

#### Data for 2008

- More Sound and Vision
  - ~100 hours for test
  - 2007 development + test for use as 2008 development
  - ASR (UTwente?)
  - MT (Queen Mary U. London?)
- More BBC dramatic rushes
  - ~50 videos from (~150 videos, ~60 hours)
  - Same BBC series
- UK Airport surveillance
  - 5 cameras x 20 hours

## System tasks

- High-level feature extraction
- Search
- BBC rushes summarization
- Event detection in surveillance

### High-level feature extraction

Task Choose features to evaluate that are more appropriate to S&V data? Training conditions Retain A, B, C, a, b, c? require a/b/c? TV2007 data as development data for 2008 Required runs Retain Text-only? Retain Visual-only? **Evaluation** Normalize contribution of each feature's IAP to mean IAP so outliers have less effect? Use "median average precision"? Report per feature random baseline? Report per feature type or frequency class? Community annotation of additional S&V data More detail in the feature "definitions"? Using active learning? Start with most frequent features in small sample? Annotate region info? ... only if appropriate for needed features Two-month effort to document "dream system" based on lessons learned? Some groups did detailed annotation for 2007 and will share? Tsinghua, UCSB, ...?

# Search

Task	
	Collaborative allowed?
	Special emphasis? (e.g. on events)
	Reduce max search time to less than 15 mins – set limit based on feedback about how MAP increases with elapsed search time (data from LIG, others?)
	Double topics for automatic systems by reusing 2007 topics + 2008? (Move to inferred AP for search)
Training conditions	
	Retain A, B, C, a, b, c?
	Require a/b/c ?
Required runs	
	Retain text-only?
	Retain visual-only?
Evaluation	
	Community contribution to topics?
	Community contribution to assessments?
	Report MAP normalized relative to best performance for each topic?

### Rushes summarization

Task	
	Reduce upper limit on duration to 2% of original?
	Allow two runs per group?
	Require one run of fixed duration (2%)?
	Require one run of duration <= 2%?
Evaluation	
	Better way of assessing redundancy?
	Better way of assessing ease of use?
	More detailed questions:
	"System has removed all junk" (strongly agreestrongly disagree)
	"Summary is 'smooth'"?
ч	Provide more detailed ground truth?
	Community adds detail after the evaluation?
u	Have systems provide start/stop times for each segment to be
	included in their summary to be used for? Automatic eval? Automatic synthesis of simple summaries?
	·
ч	Distribute all the rest of the BBC rushes even if not all used in the evaluation check with other Rushes projects
	evaluation check with other rushes projects

### Event detection in surveillance discussion

- Task
  - What are the "natural" events that will be represented in the data?
  - ☐ Systems may be required to emit a confidence score for each detected event instance (TBD)
  - □ Will a possibly interactive, retrospective search task be supported?
- What will the training conditions be?
- Evaluation
  - □ LDC leading the initial annotation effort
  - ☐ Is community annotation of surveillance data feasible?
  - ☐ Metrics to be further developed with input from participants
  - ☐ Collaboration with other evaluation efforts possible in future
  - Teaming arrangements encouraged
    - Experimentation with Open Science Grid for data sharing, compute cycles, etc. being considered

#### Final notes:

- Please turn in your completed workshop survey
- Please send a copy of your slides to George Awad (George.Awad@nist.gov)
- The workshop papers and slides (as .pdf) will be posted on the website within the next month
- ☐ Final versions of the notebook papers are due to George.Awad@nist.gov by 1. March 2008